1 2	Mark E. Merin (State Bar No. 043849) Paul H. Masuhara (State Bar No. 289805) LAW OFFICE OF MARK E. MERIN	RIVERA HEWITT PAUL LLP 11341 Gold Express Drive, Ste. 160 Gold River, California 95670	
3	1010 F Street, Suite 300 Sacramento, California 95814	Tel: 916-922-1200 Fax: 916-922-1303	
4 5	Telephone: (916) 443-6911 Facsimile: (916) 447-8336 E-Mail: mark@markmerin.com	Shanan L. Hewitt (SBN 200168) <a href="mailto:shewitt@rhplawyers.com">shewitt@rhplawyers.com</a> Jill B. Nathan (SBN 186136)	
6	paul@markmerin.com	jnathan@rhplawyers.com	
7	Attorneys for Plaintiffs, ESTATE OF XANDER MANN,	Attorneys for Defendants, COUNTY OF STANISLAUS,	
8	AMY PICKERING, and JUSTIN MANN And for Cross-Defendant	STANISLAUS COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, and JEFF DIRKSE	
9	ESTATE OF XANDER MANN	DEFARTMENT, and JETT DIRKSE	
10			
11	DONALD W. COOK, CSB 116666 ATTORNEY AT LAW	PORTER SCOTT A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION	
13	3435 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 2910 Los Angeles, CA 90010	John R. Whitefleet, SBN 213301 350 University Avenue, Suite 200	
14	(213) 252-9444 / (213) 252-0091 facsimile	Sacramento, California 95825	
15	E-mail: <a href="maintook@earthlink.net">manncook@earthlink.net</a> Attorney for Plaintiff,	TEL: 916.929.1481 FAX: 916.927.3706	
16	HECTOR del ALTO, by guardian ad litem ADRIANNA SALGADO	Attorneys for Defendant, GERARDO ZAZUETA	
		OLIVINDO ENZOLIVI	
17			
18	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
19	EASTERN DISTRIC	1 OF CALIFORNIA	
20	ESTATE OF XANDER MANN, AMY PICKERING, and JUSTIN MANN,	) CASE NO.: 1:21-cv-01098-AWI-SKO	
21	, ,	) JOINT STIPULATION TO	
22	Plaintiff, v.	) CONSOLIDATE CASES; ORDER ) CONSOLIDATING CASES	
24	COUNTY OF STANISLAUS,	) (Doc. 53)	
	STANISLAUS COUNTY SHERIFF'S	)	
25	DEPARTMENT, JEFF DIRKSE, GERARDO ZAZUETA,	) )	
26	and DOE 1 to 20,	)	
27	Defendants.	, )	
28		)	

) CASE NO.: 1:22-cv-00384-DAD-SAB
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)

TO THE ABOVE-ENTITLED COURT AND TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD:

The parties, ESTATE OF XANDER MANN, AMY PICKERING, and JUSTIN MANN, Plaintiffs in the matter of *Estate of Xander Mann, et al., v. County of Stanislaus, et al.* Case No. 1:21-cv-01098-AWI-SKO, and HECTOR del ALTO, by guardian ad litem ADRIANNA SALGADO, Plaintiff in the matter of *Hector del Alto v. County of Stanislaus, et al.*, Case No. 1:22-cv-00384-DAD-SAB; and Defendants including COUNTY OF STANISLAUS, STANISLAUS COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, JEFF DIRKSE, and GERARDO ZAZUETA, by and through their respective counsel of record, collectively known as the "Parties," have conferred and hereby stipulate to consolidate cases *Estate of Xander Mann, et al., v. County of Stanislaus, et al.* and *Hector del Alto v. County of Stanislaus, et al.*, pursuant to Rule 42(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

### **JOINT STIPULATION**

WHEREAS, presently pending in this Court are two related actions identified below:

1. Estate of Xander Mann, e al., v. County of Stanislaus, et al. United States District Court, Eastern District of California, Case No. 1:21-cv-01098-AWI-SKO ("Mann"); and

### Case 1:21-cv-01098-AWI-SKO Document 54 Filed 07/14/22 Page 3 of 6

Τ	
2	
3	

2. Hector del Alto, by guardian ad litem Adrianna Salgado v. County of Stanislaus, et al., United States District Court, Eastern District of California, Case No. 1:22-CV-00384-DAD-SAB ("del Alto");

WHEREAS, both cases arise out of the same officer involved shooting which occurred on May 18, 2021;

WHEREAS, on July 19, 2021, Decedent's mother AMY PICKERING and Decedent's father JUSTIN MANN filed their Complaint for Damages for Violation of Civil and Constitutional Rights against Defendants COUNTY OF STANISLAUS, STANISLAUS COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT and GERARDO ZAZUETA alleging constitutional claims and torts claims on their own behalf as well as constitutional, state law and survivorship claims on behalf of Xander Mann ("Decedent") as his mother and father. Plaintiffs also allege they have the right to recover as co-successors in interest to Decedent;

WHEREAS, on March 31, 2022, HECTOR del ALTO, by guardian ad litem ADRIANNA SALGADO filed his complaint for damages, alleging constitutional claims and tort claims on his own behalf against the COUNTY OF STANISLAUS, STANISLAUS COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, GERARDO ZAZUETA and the ESTATE OF XANDER MANN;

WHEREAS, Rule 42(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure permits a court to consolidate actions pending before it if those actions involve a "common question of law or fact." "The threshold issue is whether the two proceedings involve a common party and common issues of fact or law." *Seguro de Servicio de Salud v. McAuto Sys. Group*, 878 F.2d 5, 8 (1st Cir. 1989). The single essential requirement is questions of law or fact common to the cases to be consolidated. *Enterprise Bank v. Saettele*, 21 F.3d 233, 235 (8th Cir. 1994).

WHEREAS the Parties now seek to consolidate the above related actions pursuant to F.R.C.P. 42 because each action asserts substantially the same claims and raise substantially the same questions of fact and law regarding liability and damages;

WHEREAS, the instant actions of *Mann* and *Salgado* (*del Alto*) have both been properly filed in the United States District Court, Eastern District of California and involve the same facts and circumstances, share many of the same causes of action, would require the same legal analysis,

## Case 1:21-cv-01098-AWI-SKO Document 54 Filed 07/14/22 Page 4 of 6

1 and as such, satisfy the only requirement for consolidation under Rule 42(a); 2 WHEREAS, consolidating these two cases would clearly serve the interests of justice: 3 increases judicial efficiency, avoids duplicative evidence, procedures, and inconsistent 4 adjudications, precludes waste, and alleviates potential burdens to the court and to all parties 5 involved. 6 **STIPULATION** 7 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, by and between the Parties hereto through their respective 8 attorneys of record: 9 1. The Eastern District cases Estate of Xander Mann, et al., v. County of Stanislaus, et al. Case No. 1:21-cv-01098, and Salgado (del Alto) v. County of Stanislaus, et al., Case No. 1:22-cv-10 00384 will be consolidated. 11 IT IS SO STIPULATED. 12 13 Dated: July 6, 2022 LAW OFFICE OF MARK E. MERIN 14 15 /s/ Mark E. Merin (as authorized on 7/6/22) 16 17 MARK E. MERIN PAUL H. MASUHARA 18 Attorneys for Plaintiffs ESTATE OF XANDER MANN, 19 AMY PICKERING, and JUSTIN MANN 20 21 Dated: July 8, 2022 RIVERA HEWITT PAUL LLP 22 23 \_/s/ Jill B. Nathan SHANAN L. HEWITT 24 JILL B. NATHAN 25 Attorneys for Defendants COUNTY OF STANISLAUS, 26 STANISLAUS COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, and JEFF DIRKSE 27 28

# Case 1:21-cv-01098-AWI-SKO Document 54 Filed 07/14/22 Page 5 of 6

1	Dated: June 29, 2022	LAW OFFICE OF DONALD W. COOK
2		/s/ Donald W. Cook
3		(original signature retained by attorney)
4		DONALD W. COOK
5		Attorney for Plaintiff HECTOR del ALTO, by guardian ad litem
6		ADRIANNA SALGADO
7		
8	Dated: July 7, 2022	PORTER SCOTT A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
10		/s/ John R. Whitefleet (as authorized on 7/7/22)
11		
12		JOHN R. WHITEFLEET Attorneys for Defendant
13		GERAŘDO ZAZUETA
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

## **ORDER**

In view of the foregoing stipulation of the parties (Doc. 53), and pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 42(a), it is HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. The Clerk of Court shall consolidate *Estate of Xander Mann, et al., v. County of Stanislaus, et al.* Case No. 1:21-cv-01098-AWI-SKO with *Salgado (del Alto) v. County of Stanislaus, et al.*, Case No. 1:22-cv-00384-DAD-SAB for all purposes;
- 2. All future filings and correspondence shall use Case No. 1:21-cv-01098-AWI-SKO;
- 3. The Clerk shall move Doc. Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 7–11 from the docket of 1:22-cv-00384-DAD-SAB to the docket of 1:21-cv-01098-AWI-SKO;
- 4. The Clerk shall administratively close *Salgado* (*del Alto*) v. *County of Stanislaus*, *et al.*, Case No. 1:22-cv-00384-DAD-SAB<sup>1</sup>; and
  - The Clerk shall file a copy of this order in Case No. 1:22-cv-00384-DAD-SAB.
     IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 13, 2022 /s/ Sheila K. Oberto
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Court notes that administratively closing this case has no impact whatsoever on the merits.